Atypical Types

1 Next

1

Atypical Types

Atypical Types

v 1.0

Mark Jason Dominus

23 October 2008

Slides online at:

http://pic.blog.plove



Good Afternoon. I am Mark Dominus.

Thank you for inviting me to NASHVILLE.

It is a real honor to be speaking here at OOPSLA.



Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next	Atypical Type:	S	3 Next	Atypical Typ	pes	4
Shameful o	confession		In the programm	ing community, we see a lot of h	oly wars.	
			Some of these are merely matters of personal preference.			
NY .	\$47	Converget @ 1000 2008 Mark Dominu	They go on forever.			
INEXt	> $<$ $/$.		For example, sho	ould one use vi or emacs?		
			It can be easy to	forget that other arguments are e	eventually resolved.	
			Next	冬 令7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominu	IS

Atypical Types

For example, structured programming, or goto?

This one is finished now.

The bodies of the goto supporters are buried pretty deep.



Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next

5 Next

Atypical Types

Before that, there was a holy war about high-level languages vs. assembly language.

I caught the tail end of it when I began programming in the 1970's.

"High-level languages are inefficient," said the assembly language proponents.

\$\$7.

And they were right.

They lost anyway.



Atypical Types

n

7 Next

Next

Atypical Types

One of these discussions that is still going on concerns strong vs. weak type systems.

C and Pascal programmers used to argue a lot about this in the 1980's.

Which is kind of funny, since C and Pascal have almost exactly the same type system.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

8



I didn't expect to see this resolved as soon as it was.

But the advent of Java ended that discussison.

Right or wrong, garbage collection has won.

Next



Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

\$\$7.

Atypical Types

In 1999 ago I gave a talk on this topic.

1999 title: "Strong Typing Doesn't Have to Suck."

(It was an audience of Perl programmers.)

For Perl programmers, any kind of automatic check is a hard sell.

Perl's motto is "Enough rope".



9

冬令7.

Atypical Types

10 Next

Next

Why Types?

Atypical Types

I said the question was still open.

In 1999, there was no well-known static type system that did not suck.

(I discussed SML, an academic research language.)

At the time, Java's type system was a craptastic throwback to the 1970's.

In 2008, I think Java 5.0 is a persuasive argument in favor of static typing.

Let's look at the history a bit.

Next



Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Sherman, set the WABAC machine for 1955!



\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Atypical Types



Next

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

12 Next

Atypical Types

- I think this idea first appeared in COBOL
- It's a pretty good idea anyway

Next

\$\$7.



13

Atypical Types

Early Type Systems: FORTRAN

(This is Fortran 77, but early Fortran was similar.)

• INTEGER

O INTEGER*2, INTEGER*4, INTEGER*8

• LOGICAL (Fortran jargon for 'boolean')

O LOGICAL*1 (synonym: BYTE), LOGICAL*2, LOGICAL*4, LOGICAL*8

• REAL

O REAL*4, REAL*8 (synonym: DOUBLE PRECISION), REAL*16

• COMPLEX

O COMPLEX*8, COMPLEX*16 (synonym: DOUBLE COMPLEX), COMPLEX*32

Now if you write:

- INTEGER I REAL R,S
- R = I + S

then the compiler can automatically generate the correct instructions

• Static type checking

Next

Next

ZQ7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Atypical Types

Early Type Systems: FORTRAN

• Side note: Declaration is optional, defaults to:

O integer for variables that begin with I, J, K, L, M, N $\,$

O REAL for other variables

Array types also:

14 Next

INTEGER A(10)

• Functions have types:

FUNCTION F(X) INTEGER F, X F = X+1 RETURN

N = F(37)

- Static type checking
- *Expressions* have types, determined at *compile time*

5. Cop

Atypical Types

Early Type Systems: Lisp

- **Dynamic** type checking
- *Values*, not expressions, are tagged with types
- Operations generate type errors at *run time*
 - (+ 1 2) 3 (+ 1 2.0) 3.0
 - (+ 1 "eels") Error in +: "eels" is not a number.

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

16 Next

Static Typing in ALGOL-based languages

- ALGOL (1960), Pascal (1968), C (1971)
- These are all very similar
- Attempt to extend type system beyond scalars
- array of *type*
- pointer to *type* ('reference' in ALGOL)
- set of *type* (Pascal only)
- record of *types* (struct in C)
- function returning *type*
- And arbitrary compositions of these operations:

/* This is why we love C */
int *((*murgatroyd[17])(void *));

\$\$7.

Next

Atypical Types

18 Next

C Example

Atypical Types

Typing: Hard to Get Right

• Goal: Compile-time checking of program soundness

• Pitfalls

• False negative: Ignore real errors

O False positive: Report spurious errors

Pascal Examples

s : array [1..10] of character; var s := 'hello'; { You wish } {----Thank you sir and may I have another! ------} type string = array [1..40] of character; procedure error (c: string) begin write('ERROR: '); write(c); writeln(''); end; error('File not found'); { In your dreams } error('File not found '); { You have to d error('Please just kill me Mr. Wirth ');

Wirth agrees that this was a bad move.

• The warning is spurious

Typing: Hard to Get Right

Pascal is pretty much dead, so let's have a...

#include <stdio.h>
int main(void)

float f = 10;

unsigned char *c;

c++) {
printf("%u ", *c);

putchar('\n');

float.c: In function `main':

return 0;

}

Next

for (c = (char *)&f;

c < sizeof(float) + (char *)&f;</pre>

\$\$7.

float.c:9: warning: comparison of distinct pointer types lacks a

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

And almost every commercial implementation of Pascal fixed this problem.

Not all these fixes were mututally compatible.

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

19

Next	Atypical Types	20	Next	Atypical Types	21
C Exampl	e		Typing in Pascal a	and C is a Failure	
• The whole pr	rogram was one giant type violation		Many spurious errors		
O But the	compiler didn't care		• So programmers ignore the	m	
			Proliferation of type-defeating fe	eatures:	
Next	\$ \$ ₹7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus	• Casts (C) (char *)(&f)		
			• Automatic conversions (C)		
			int i; i = 1.42857;	/* Silently truncated to 1 */	
			• Variadic functions (C)		
			• Union types (C and Pascal I	both)	
			<pre>var u: case tag: :</pre>	<pre>integer of al: integer); val: real); ngval: array [120] of character); val: boolean);</pre>	
			u.intval = 142845' r = u.realval;	7; { Gack }	
			• Abuse of the separate comp	vilation facility (Pascal)	
			This proliferation is a sure sign of	of failure	

ZQ7.

Atypical Types

Coping With Failure

- Static typing, as implemented in C and Pascal, was not very technically successful
- Solution 1: Give up

O Lisp

- O APL
- O AWK
- O Perl (*really* give up: +(8/2).".".0.0.0)

Hey, that worked pretty well!

- Solution 2: Try to do better
 - O Haskell (and its precursors ISWIM, Miranda, ML, etc.)
 - O Closely related: Java 5

This has also worked pretty well.

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Atypical Types

1999 vs. Today

22 Next

- In 1999, the Haskell type system was a hard sell
- Haskell was worked on by a bunch of funny-looking ivory-tower types:



Philip Wadler (University of Edinburgh)

Martin Odersky (EPFL)

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next

Atypical Types

1999 vs. Today



Philip Wadler

Martin Odersky

- But these guys designed the Java 5 "generics" feature
- Which is directly derived from their experience with Haskell and related languages
 - O Which they also designed
- The rest of this talk is about Haskell

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

24 Next

Atypical Types

Static Typing that Works

We saw that typing in Pascal and C failed for several reasons:

• Too fine-grained (character[12] vs. character[13])

807.

- Spurious warnings ⇒ ignored warnings
- Too easy to violate (unions, casts)
- Too coarse-grained (structs)
- Inconvenient to use (explicit types everywhere)

These problems are surmountable!

Next

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

25

Next	Atypical	Types	26 Next	Atypica	Types 27
The Haskell P	rogrammir	ig Language	Types in H	askell	
• Extremely expressiv	ve and fine-grained ty	rpe system	Scalars		
• Many fascinating an	d powerful features	hat I will not discuss today	17 17.3		Integer Float
• Originally a research	h language		'x' True		Char Bool
• Solves the type prob	olems of C and Pasca	1			
			Next	\$\$7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus
Next	\$\$7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark	c Dominus		

Atypical Types

28 Next

Atypical Types

\$\$7.

Types in Haskell

Types in Haskell

Lists

Tuples

(17, 'x') (Integer, Char) [True, False, True] [Bool] (12.5, 13.5, 9) (Float, Float, Int) [True, False, True, False] [Bool] (True, False, True) (Bool, Bool, Bool) [1,2,3,4,5] [Integer] ['O', 'O', 'P', 'S', 'L', 'A'] [Char] "OOPSLA" [Char] • String is accepted as a synonym for [Char] \$\$7. Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus Next • Types like [Integer] this should remind you of Java types like List<Integer> etc. • Just as Java has List<List<Integer>>, Haskell has [[Integer]] [[1,2,3], [4,6], [0,233]] [[Integer]] ["I", "like", "pie"] [17, "foo"] [[Char]] Error

Next

Types in Haskell Types in Haskell Polymorphism **Type composition** [] [[1,2,3], [], []] [['p', 'i', 'e'], [], []] [(True, [1, 2, 3]), (False, []), [a] [[Integer]] [[Char]] (False, [4, 5]) [(Bool, [Integer])]] ([], []) ([a], [b]) (Better examples coming up shortly.) 807. Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus Next \$\$7. Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus Next

30 Next

Atypical Types

31

Atypical Types

Next

Next	Atypica	l Types	2 Next Atypical Types		33	
Types in H	askell		Overloading			
Function types			• <i>Type classes</i> are something	like object classes in Java		
not words		Bool -> Bool String -> [String]	• Several different types mig	ht be instances of the same class		
unwords		[String] -> String	O This means they must	support some basic set of operations		
length reverse		[a] -> Int [a] -> [a]	• For example, any type t m	ight be an instance of the Show class		
head	head [a] -> a		• If so, there must be a function show of type t -> String			
:		$a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$	O The Haskell standard library makes all the standard types instances of Show			
• : is the "cons"	operation		O So for example:			
O [1,2,3] i	s shorthand for 1:2:3:[]	show 137 show True show "Foo"	yields "137" yields "True" yields "\"Foo\""		
Next	\$?.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Domir	• If you define your own typ	e, you can define a show method		
			• And you can declare y	our type to be an instance of Show		
			• Notation:			
			Show Integer Show Bool Show [Char]	("Integer is an instance of Sho ("Bool is an instance of Show") ("[Char] is an instance of Show	w") ")	

\$¢7.

Next	Atypical Type	28	34 Next	Atypical Types	35
Overload	ing		Overload	ling	
• The show fu	nction itself has this type:		• Numeric of	perations are similarly overloaded	
	(Show a) => a -> String		• The type of	f + is	
• That is, it tal	kes an argument of type a and ret	urns a String	(1	Jum a) => a -> a -> a	
O But onl	ly if a is an instance of Show		• So you can	add two Integer arguments and get another Integer	-
O The (SI	how a) is called a <i>context</i>		• Add two F	loat arguments and get another Float	
• The show fu	nction for Bool has type Bool -:	> String	• Define you	r own Vector type	
			O Decla	re that it's an instance of Num	
Next	 []令7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Domir	us O Defin	e + (and *, etc.) operations on it	
			• And the	hen add two Vector arguments and get another Vecto	r
			O But if error	you mess up and add a Vector to an Integer you'll g	get a compile-time

\$\$7.

Atypical Types

Overloaded constants

• Constants like 163 are taken to be shorthand for

fromInteger 163

- Where fromInteger has type
 - (Num a) => Integer -> a
- So you can use "163" as a constant of any numeric type

\$\$7.

O As long as that type defines an appropriate fromInteger function

Next

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Atypical Types

Overloaded constants

• In particular, this works:

36 Next

163 + 13.5

- 163 gets the same type as 13.5 here
 - O An appropriate value is manufactured by an appropriate version of fromInteger
- No nonsense like this:

• A constant like 163 actually has this type:

(Num a) => a

• "Any type a, as long as it's an instance of Num."

\$\$7.

Next

Next	Atypical Types	38 Next	Atypical Types	39		
Overloading	g	Big Deal?				
• Early versions o	f this type system had problems with equality	One big deal is that	at you do not need to declare types!			
• What's the type	of ==?	Let's consider eve	ryone's favorite example:			
 Something like a O <i>Except</i> that 	a -> a -> Bool	int fac if (1 else }	<pre>int fact(int n) { if (n == 0) return 1; else return n * fact(n-1); }</pre>			
• Haskell solves the	his problem:	In Haskell, that loo	oks almost the same:			
O (Eq a) =>	• a -> a -> Bool	fact 0	= 1			
• And function	on types are not instances of Eq	Hey, where did the	= ints go?			
• Similarly, ordered	ed types should be declared instances of Ord	,				
O Values can	be compared with <, >, etc.	Next	Copyright Copyright	ht © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus		

\$\$7.

Next	Atypic	cal Types	40	Next	Atypical Ty	rpes 41
Type infer	rence			Type infe	rence	
The compiler says	to itself:			fact :: (Num a) => a	<i>u</i> -> <i>b</i>	
fact 0 fact n	= 1 = n * fact(n-1)			"O has type (Num	a) => a."	
"O has type (Num	a) => a."			fact 0 fact n	= 1 = n * fact(n-1)	
Next	≸令7.	Copyright © 19	99,2008 Mark Dominus	"So n must have t	hat type too."	
				Next	\$₹	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next	Atypical Typ	pes 42	Next	Atypical Types	43
Type inf	ference		Type inference		
fact :: (Num <i>a</i>) = n :: (Num <i>a</i>) =	=> a -> b => a		fact :: (Num a) => $a \rightarrow b$ n :: (Num a) => a		
"0 has type (N	um a) => a."		" <i>n</i> has type (Num a) => a ."		
"So n must hav	we that type too."		fact 0 = 1 fact n = n * f a	act(n-1)	
fact fact	t 0 = 1 t n = n * fact(n-1)		"* requires two arguments of	f the same type, both insta	ances of Num."
"n-1 checks ou	ut okay."		"So fact must return (Num a	a) => a also."	
Next	<u>8</u> @7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus	Next	\$₹	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next	Atypical Types	44 Next	Atypical Types	45
Type inference		Туре	inference	
fact :: (Num a) => a -> a n :: (Num a) => a		fact :: (Nu n :: (Nu	$\operatorname{Im} a) \Longrightarrow a \dashrightarrow a$ $\operatorname{Im} a) \Longrightarrow a$	
<pre>"fact must return (Num a) => a als fact 0 = 1 fact n = n * fact(n-1)</pre>)	"Okay, e	<pre>fact 0 = 1 fact n = n * fact(n-1) verything checks out!"</pre>	
"The return value of 1 is consistent w	ith that."	• And	if you ask it, it will <i>tell you</i> the type of fact:	
Next SQ7	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Domi	nus • If yo • If yo • If yo • O	<pre>fact :: (Num a) => a -> a ou ask for the factorial of an Integer, you get back an Integer ou ask for the factorial of a Float, you get back a Float ou ask for the factorial of a String, you get a compile-time error Because String is not an instance of Num</pre>	

\$\$7.

Atypical Types

Haskell types are always correct

fact :: (Num a) => $a \rightarrow a$

- Ask the compiler to tell you the type of some function
- Is it what you expect?
 - O Yes? Okay then!
 - If not, your program almost certainly has a bug.
 - A *real* bug, not a nonsense string-the-wrong-length bug

Next

ZQ7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Atypical Types

Haskell types are always correct



• When there's a type error, you do not have to groan and pull out a bunch of casts

• Or figure out to trick the compiler into accepting it anyway

\$\$7.

- O Instead, you stop and ask yourself "What did I screw up this time?"
- O And when you figure it out, you say "Whew! Good thing I caught that."

Next

46 Next

Next	Atypical Types	48	Next	Atypical Types	49
Туре	Inference Example 2		Type Infe	rence	
	sumof [] = 0 sumof (h:t) = $h + sumof t$		sumof sumof	[] = 0 (h:t) = h + sumof t	
			"The argument is	[]."	
Next	\$∲7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominu	"That's some kind	of list, say [a]."	
			"And let's say that	the return type is b for now."	
			Next	梦 令7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next A	typical Types 5	50 Next	Atypical Types	51
Type Inference		Type Info	erence	
sumof :: [<i>a</i>] -> <i>b</i>		sum f :: $[a] \rightarrow b$		
"The argument has type [a]."		h :: a t :: [a]		
<pre>sumof [] = 0 sumof (h:t) = h + sumof</pre>	t	"h must have typ	be a and t must have type [a]."	
"h:t is also a list, so that's okay."		sumof sumof	[] = 0 (h:t) = h + sumof t	
"h must have type a and t must have type	pe [a]."	"We're adding h	to the return value of sumof."	
h :: a t :: [a]		"So the return va	alue must be a also."	
		"And + is only de	efined for instances of Num, so a is such an instance	
Next 507.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominu	us "So the return va	alue is really of type (Num a) => a ."	
		<pre>sumof ::</pre>	(Num a) => [a] -> a	

ZQ7.

Next	Atypical T	ypes	52 Next		Atypical Types	53
Type Infe	erence		Тур	e Inference Ex	ample 3	
sumof :: (Num a) = h :: (Num a) = t :: (Num a) =	$\Rightarrow [a] \Rightarrow a$ $\Rightarrow a$ $\Rightarrow [a]$			<pre>map(f, []) = [] map(f, h:t) = f(h)</pre>	: map(f, t)	
"So the return val	lue is really (Num a) => a ."		Next	Z	�7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus
sumof sumof	[] = 0 (h:t) = h + sumof t					
"That fits with th	e other return value of 0."					
"And everything	else checks out okay."					
• If you ask, i	t will say that the type is:					
<pre>sumof ::</pre>	(Num a) => [a] -> a					
• If we had pu	tt 0.0 instead of 0, it would hav	ve deduced:				
<pre>sumof ::</pre>	(Fractional a) => [a] -:	> a				
• (Fractiona	l is a subclass of Num)					
O Among	other things, it supports divisi	on				
• If we had pu	It "Fred" we would have gotte	n a type error				
O Becaus	e String is not an instance of	Num				
Next	Z@7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Domi	nus			

Atypical Types

54 Next

Type Inference

Atypical Types

Type Inference

map(f, []) = []
map(f, h:t) = f(h) : map(f, t)

"f has some type, say p, and [] has some list type, say [a]."

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

\$\$7.

 $\mathrm{map} :: (p, [a]) \mathop{{{\scriptstyle\rightarrow}}} q$

f :: p

"[] has some list type, say [a]."

map(f, []) = [] map(f, h:t) = f(h) : map(f, t)

"h must have type a and t must have type [a]."

Next

Next	Atypical Types	56	Next		Atypical T	Гуреs	57	
Type Inference			Type Inference					
map :: (p, f) f :: p h :: a t :: $[a]$	[<i>a</i>]) -> <i>q</i>		map :: (a - f :: a -> h :: a t :: [a]	> b, [a]) -> q - b				
"h must h	<pre>ave type a." map(f, []) = [] map(f, h:t) = f(h) : map(f, t)</pre>		"£ must ta	<pre>ke an argument of t map(f, []) = [] map(f, h:t) = f</pre>	<pre>ype a and return a (h) : map(f, t</pre>	a result of type b."		
"f is used as a function applied to h."			"The result of f is consed to the result of map."					
"So f mus	st have type a -> b for some b."		"So map f	nust return [b]."				
"£ must ta	ake an argument of type a and return a resul	t of type b."	Next		BQ7.	Copyright © 1999,2008	Mark Dominus	
Next	\$ ₹ 7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus						

Atypical Types

Type Inference

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{map} :: (a > b, [a]) \to [b] \\ \text{f} :: & a \to b \\ \text{h} :: & a \\ \text{t} :: & [a] \end{array}$

"map must return [b]."

map(f, []) = []
map(f, h:t) = f(h) : [map(f, t)

"That fits with the return value in the other clause."

"Everything else checks out okay."

• If you ask the compiler, it will say that the type is:

map :: (a -> b, [a]) -> [b]

Next

\$\$7.

58 Next

Atypical Types

Type Inference Example 3 Continued

map :: (a -> b, [a]) -> [b]

Normally map is defined as a curried function

Instead of this:

map(f, []) = []
map(f, h:t) = f(h) : map(f, t)

We write this:

map f [] = []
map f (h:t) = f(h) : map f t

And the type is:

map :: $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]$

Then for example:

length :: [a] -> Integer
map length ["I", "like", "pie"]
 [1, 4, 3]
length_all = map length
length_all :: [[a]] -> [Integer]

length_all ["I", "like", "pie"]
[1, 4, 3]

\$\$7.

Next

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next	Atypical Types	(60 Next		Atypical Types		61	
Life with Haskell			A Spectacular Example					
The Haskell type system has a lot of unspectacular successes.			Here's a spectacular example, due to Andrew R. Koenig.					
Programming in Haskell is ple	easant		We will write a	merge sort function.				
• No type declarations— everything is automatic			Strategy:					
• You get quite a few type errors (darn!)			• Split list into two lists					
• But every error indicates a real, serious problem			• Sort each list separately					
• Not like lint or C or Pascal.			• Merge sorted lists together					
			We expect the	type of this function to	be			
Next	冬 令7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Domin	us (C	ord a) => [a] -> [a	a]			

<u>\$</u>\$7.

Atypical Types

62 Next

Merging

merge [] ls = ls

merge ls [] = ls

else

merge (a:as) (b:bs) =

Atypical Types

b : merge (a:as) bs

63

Splitting

```
split [] = ([], [])
split [a] = ([a], [])
split (a:b:rest) = (a:a', b:b')
where (a', b') = split rest
```

split :: [t] -> ([t], [t])

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus Next

ZQ7.

if a <= b then a : merge as (b:bs)

merge :: (Ord t) => [t] -> [t] -> [t]

Atypical Types

Merge Sort

sort [] = []
sort ls = merge (sort p) (sort q)
where (p, q) = split ls

• If we ask Haskell for the type of sort, it says:

sort :: (Ord a) => [t] -> [a]

Huh??

64 Next

Atypical Types

Huh??

sort :: (Ord a) => $[t] \rightarrow [a]$

• This says that we could put in *any* kind of list [t]

 ${\rm O}~$ It does not even have to be ordered

• And what we get out has nothing to do with what we put in

8.07.

O We could put in a list of Integer and get out a list of String

Which is impossible

Next

\$**₹**7. c

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next

Atypical Types

Huh??

sort :: (Ord a) => [t] -> [a]

• But this is *impossible*

One way the impossible can occur is if it never can occur

Next

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next



Atypical Types

"Go out with you? Sure, when Arnold Schwarzenegger is elected president."

"But he isn't an American citizen."

"Right!"

\$\$7.

Next	Atypical Types		68 Next	Atypical Types	69			
Huh??? sort :: (Ord a) => [t] -> [a] &Idauo:Given a list of numbers, it could return a list of strings.&rdauo:			<pre>sort [] = [] sort ls = merge (sort p) (sort q) where (p, q) = split ls</pre>					
			In fact, this function has a bug.					
"But it can't possibly re	eturn a list of strings.&ro	dquo;	• It i	ever returns				
"Right!"			((In which case it <i>does</i> return a list of type [a])				
Next	<u>\$</u> \$7.	Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dom	• <i>Type checking</i> found an infinite loop bug!					
			• At compile time!!					
			• !!!	!!!!!				

ZQ7.

```
Atypical Types
                                                                                   70 Next
                                                                                                                           Atypical Types
Where's the Bug?
                                                                                       Solution: Add a clause
                                                                                                sort [] = []
          sort [] = []
                                                                                                sort [x] = [x]
sort ls = merge (sort p) (sort q)
         sort ls = merge (sort p) (sort q)
where (p, q) = split ls
                                                                                                   where (p, q) = split ls
                                    Suppose the function is trying to sort a one-element The type is now:
                                    list [x]
                                                                                                sort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
                                    It calls split and gets ([x], [])
                                                                                       as we expected it should be.
                                    Then it tries to recursively sort the two parts
                                                                                                                    $$7.
                                                                                       Next
                                                                                                                                            Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus
                                    Sorting [] is okay.
                                    Sorting [x] puts it into an infinite loop
```

\$\$7.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

Next

Next

Atypical Types

72 Next

Atypical Types

Summary

Thank you!

They say to allot 3–5 minutes per slide

So I won't pretend that there will be time for questions

(sorry)

Please email me or catch me in the hallway

http://pic.blog.plov

mjd@plover.com

\$\$7.

Next



Atypical Types

74 Next

Thank you!

They say to allot 3–5 minutes per slide

So I won't pretend that there will be time for questions

(sorry)

Please email me or catch me in the hallway

http://pic.blog.plov

mjd@plover.com



Atypical Types

Solution: Add a clause
sort [] = []
sort [x] = [x]

```
sort [x] = [x]
sort ls = merge (sort p) (sort q)
where (p, q) = split ls
```

\$\$7.

The type is now:

sort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a]

as we expected it should be.

Copyright © 1999,2008 Mark Dominus

75

Next

\$\$7.

Atypical Types

76 Next

Atypical Types

Summary

Thank you!

They say to allot 3–5 minutes per slide

So I won't pretend that there will be time for questions

(sorry)

Please email me or catch me in the hallway

http://pic.blog.plov

mjd@plover.com

\$\$7.

77



Atypical Typing

78

Thank you!

They say to allot 3–5 minutes per slide

So I won't pretend that there will be time for questions

(sorry)

Please email me or catch me in the hallway

http://pic.blog.plov

mjd@plover.com



Next

\$\$7.